

MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP

COUNSELORS IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW IO BANK STREET, SUITE 460

WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606

TEL: (914) 949-3100 FAX: (914) 949-3416 INTPROP@AOL.COM

WWW.MILDE.COM

THOMAS M. PITEGOFF

OF COUNSEL:

NANCY A. BIRD

GARL F. MILDE, JR.

TEVEN M. HOFFBERG'

CHERYL H. AGRIS, Ph.D.2 PAUL S. HOFFMAN

SAMUEL SHIPKOVITZ, Ph.D.3

I ALSO ADMITTED IN CT ZALSO ADMITTED IN NJ

SADMITTED IN DC. VA. OH ONLY

FORM PTO-1082 (modified)

Case Docket No.: LKI 205.4

Mail Stop Patent Application COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Date: January 26, 2004

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

Inventor(s):

George R. Kaplan Avigdor Shachrai

Oded Anner . Leonid Gurvich

LASER MARKING SYSTEM

Enclosed are:

Specification - 50 pages [x]

[x]Claims - 19 pages

Abstract - 1 page [x]

[x] 16 Sheets of drawing. (FIGS. 1-14)

A Declaration and Power of Attorney. [x]

Revocation of Power of Attorney & Address Change [x]

Statement Under 37 C.F.R. 1.607 [x]

Preliminary Amendment - 22 pages [x]

Letter to Official Draftsman [x]

16 Sheets of formal drawings (FIGS. 1-14) [x]

Information Disclosure Statement [x]

PTO Form SB/08A (1449) [x]

[x] 5 References Page Two

٠...

[x] Priority is hereby claimed on the basis of the following: Country Serial No.

Date

USA	Contin of 10/237,329 now Pat 6684663, which is a	09/06/2002
USA	Div of 09/688,655 now Pat 6476351, which is a	10/16/2000
<u>USA</u>	Div of 09/309,982 now Pat 6211484, which is a	05/11/1999
<u>USA</u>	Div of 08/690,309 now Pat 5932119	07/30/1996

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

•						
FOR:	(Col. 1) NO. FILED	(Col. 2) NO. EXTRA	SMALL ENTITY RATE FEE	OR		THAN A ENTITY FEE
BASIC FEE			\$ 385.00	OR		\$ 770.00
TOTAL CLAIMS	5 76 - 20	= 56 x 9=	\$	OR	x 18=	\$ 1008.00
INDEP CLAIMS	5 13 - 3	= 10 x 43=	\$	OR	x 86=	\$ 860.00
[] MULTIPLE	DEPENDENT C	LAIM PRESENTE	ED +145= \$	OR	+290=	\$
		TOTAL	\$	OR		\$ 2638.00

[x] A check in the amount of $$\underline{2638.00}$ to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

[x] Please charge any insufficiency of fee, or credit any excess, to Deposit Account No. 50-0427.

MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP

By // Steven M. Hoffberg

Reg. No. 33,511

914-949-3100

"Express Mail" mailing label.

Number <u>EU805634554US</u>
Date of Deposit January 26, 2004

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, PD Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By:

LKI 205.4 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

George Kaplan et al.

Serial No.

To be assigned

Filed

Herewith

For

LASER MARKING SYSTEM

*-----

January 26, 2004

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

:

Sir:

STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.607

1.607 Request by applicant for interference with patent.

- (a)
 An applicant may seek to have an interference declared between an application and an unexpired patent by,
 - (1) Identifying the patent,

US 6,552,300 (Kerner), April 22, 2003

US 6,649,863 (Teoman, et al.), November 18, 2003

(2) Presenting a proposed count,

The proposed counts are represented by claims 126-188 presented in the preliminary amendment.

(3) Identifying at least one claim in the patent corresponding to the proposed count,

Claim correspondence chart

Application	US 6,552,300
126	1
127	1
128	1
129	1
130	1
131	12, 15
132	
133	
134	
135	
136	14
137	
138	15 16
139	
140	16
141	16
142	16
143	16
144	17
145	19
146	20
147	21
148	
149	
150	1
151	2
152	3
153	4
154	5
155	6
156	7
157	8
158	9
159	10
160	11
161	12
162	13
163	14
164	15
165	16
166	17
167	18

169	168	19
170 21 171 22 172 23 173 24 174 25 175 26 176 27 Application US 6,649,863 177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
171 22 172 23 173 24 174 25 175 26 176 27 Application US 6,649,863 177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
172 23 173 24 174 25 175 26 176 27 Application US 6,649,863 177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
173 24 174 25 175 26 176 27 Application US 6,649,863 177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
174 25 175 26 176 27 Application US 6,649,863 177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
175 26 176 27 Application US 6,649,863 177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		25
Application US 6,649,863 177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		26
177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	176	27
177 1 178 2 179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	Application	US 6,649,863
179 3 180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	177	
180 4 181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	178	
181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		3
181 5 182 6 183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	180	
183 7 184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
184 8 185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	182	
185 9 186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	183	
186 10 187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		the state of the s
187 11 188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		The second secon
188 6 189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	186	
189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
189 7 190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
190 8 191 9 192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
192 10 193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		
193 11 194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	191	
194 1 195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	192	
195 2 196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11		The state of the s
196 6 197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	194	
197 6 198 9 199 10 200 11	195	
198 9 199 10 200 11		
198 9 199 10 200 11	197	
199 10 200 11		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
200 11		
201 9		
	201	9

Presenting at least one claim corresponding to the proposed count or identifying at least one claim already pending in its application that corresponds to the proposed count, and, if any claim of the patent or application identified as corresponding to the proposed count does not correspond exactly to the proposed count, explaining why each such claim corresponds to the proposed count, and

The presented claims of the application, both copied and not copied, correspond to the above-indicated corresponding counts (i.e., claims of the patents) because the differences are such as to not define patentably distinct inventions.

- (5) Applying the terms of any application claim,
- (i) Identified as corresponding to the count, and

(ii)

Not previously in the application to the disclosure of the application.

The term "collimated" is believed to mean approximately parallel, which corresponds to, inter alia, the light output of an LED, disclosed in the specification.

The other terms and phrases used in the claims are believed to correspond to, and be expressly supported by, the disclosure.

(6) Explaining how the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 135(b) are met, if the claim presented or identified under paragraph (a)(4) of this section was not present in the application until more than one year after the issue date of the patent.

Claims 126-149 claim are not copied from US 6,552,300.

Claims 177-187 are presented less than one year after issuance of US 6,649,863.

Claims 188-201 are not copied from 6,649,863.

35 U.S.C. 135(b)(1) states:

A claim which is the same as, or for the same or substantially the same subject matter as, a claim of an issued patent may not be made in any application unless such a claim is made prior to one year from the date on which the patent was granted.

35 U.S.C. 135(b)(2) states:

A claim which is the same as, or for the same or substantially the same subject matter as, a claim of an application published under section 122(b) of this title may be made in an application filed after the application is published only if the claim is made before 1 year after the date on which the application is published.

Claims 126-149 do not claim "the same or substantially the same subject matter" as the prior published or issued claims, and therefore do not violate 35 U.S.C. 135(b), and are not barred.

On the other hand, 35 U.S.C. 135(a) provides:

Whenever an application is made for a patent which, in the opinion of the Director, would interfere with any pending application, or with any unexpired patent, an interference may be declared and the Director shall give notice of such declaration to the applicants, or applicant and patentee, as the case may be. The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences shall determine questions of priority of the inventions and may determine questions of patentability. Any final decision, if adverse to the claim of an applicant, shall constitute the final refusal by the Patent and Trademark Office of the claims involved, and the Director may issue a patent to the applicant who is adjudged the prior inventor. A final judgment adverse to a patentee from which no appeal or other review has been or can be taken or had shall constitute cancellation of the claims involved in the patent, and notice of such cancellation shall be endorsed on copies of the patent distributed after such cancellation by the Patent and Trademark Office.

This is a different standard than the bars set forth in 35 U.S.C. 135(b), and therefore a declaration of interference for claims 126-149 is believed proper.

See, Housey v. Berman, Interference No. 104,347 (BPAI 1999); affd., Berman v. Housey 291 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. May 29, 2002); In re Sullivan et al., 2003 US App. LEXIS 24776 (Fed. Cir., December 9, 2003) - UNPUBLISHED; In re Berger, 61 U.S.P.Q.2d 1523 (Fed. Cir. 2002).

Claims 150-176 are believed to be proper based on prior claims presented by applicants in Application Serial No. 10/237,329 filed September 6, 2002; Application Serial No. 09/688,655 filed October 16, 2000; Application Serial No. 09/309,982 filed May 11, 1999; Application Serial No. 08/690,309 filed July 30, 1996.

- When an applicant seeks an interference with a patent, examination of the application, including any appeal to the Board, shall be conducted with special dispatch within the Patent and Trademark Office. The examiner shall determine whether there is interfering subject matter claimed in the application and the patent which is patentable to the applicant subject to a judgment in an interference. If the examiner determines that there is any interfering subject matter, an interference will be declared. If the examiner determines that there is no interfering subject matter, the examiner shall state the reasons why an interference is not being declared and otherwise act on the application.
- When an applicant presents a claim which corresponds exactly or substantially to a claim of a patent, the applicant shall identify the patent and the number of the patent claim, unless the claim is presented in response to a suggestion by the examiner. The examiner shall notify the Commissioner of any instance where an applicant fails to identify the patent.

See chart above.

(d)

A notice that an applicant is seeking to provoke an interference with a patent will be placed in the file of the patent and a copy of the notice will be sent to the patentee. The identity of the applicant will not be disclosed unless an interference is declared. If a final decision is made not to declare an interference, a notice to that effect will be placed in the patent file and will be sent to the patentee.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven M. Hoffberg

Reg. 33,511

Milde & Hoffberg LLP Suite 460, 10 Bank Street White Plains, NY 10606 (914) 949-3100

LKI 205.4 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) : George Kaplan et al.

Serial No. :

Filed

·

For : LASER MARKING SYSTEM

January 26, 2004

Hon. Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

LETTER TO THE OFFICIAL DRAFTSMAN

Enclosed herewith are 16 sheets of formal drawings for the above referenced patent application. Approval of the formal aspects thereof is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven M. Hoffberg Reg. No. 33,511

MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP 10 Bank Street - Suite 460 White Plains, NY 10606 (914) 949-3100